Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400180008-3

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY WASHINGTON 20505

2. 4 64-0105/

Pebruary 24, 1769

Bear General Carter:

This is in reply to your letter and enclosure of October 17, 1903, requesting our views on what might be done to resolve the problems in non-numerical automatic data processing. You will appreciate that I have only recently involved myself in these questions so that this reply is in a certain sense preliminary. The questions raises hit at the very heart of the general problem in human communications. They are questions which have concerned us for some time and for which we would very much like to have answers.

We have a very strong interest in the communication of scientific and technical information and also in the techniques of command and control with its involvement with intelligence information. Your letter points out the universality of the tools and methodology of communications in your intimation that research in non-numerical information processing in any one field can be beneficial to communications broadly. We certainly agree with you.

Your letter points to three problem areas which you believe to be critical to the development of non-numerical processing and upon which extensive efforts have been focused. There are listed an follows:

- The conversion of text on hard copy into text which (3) can be read by machines;
- The development of massive memories to which (2) access may be nad at random; and
- Devising advanced techniques for processing (3) information.

While these three problem areas describe the present programs of support in these fields, I might have used a different breakdown of

the problems especially as one would plan them for future programs. Items (1) and (2) appear to reflect the earlier plans that were intended to engineer toward the solution by brute force. Our early efforts to develop advanced techniques appeared to have been guided by the desire to exploit the wonders of the newly arrived ADP equipment and electronic digital computers, hoping for the quantum step to fully automated systems which would accept and manipulate the massive information input.

£ .

The bulk of the government funds to improve the handling of information was funneled into the development of large systems which were, in all honesty, a rationalization of preconceived solutions. Inadvertently, and instinctively, these focused efforts were directed toward the simulation of the human brain, complete with massive memories, character recognition and Gestalt retrieval. Admittedly, this was a gamble, but it suffered as most focused research efforts suffer, in that its success depended upon the ability to resolve some fundamental obstacles. Unfortunately, subtle obstacles proved to be more formidable than originally anticipated.

I somehow sense that there is a widespread disenchantment with the large systems approach since the results of nearly ten years of effort and nearly \$100 million of expenditures have evidently fallen far short of the earlier expectations for them. As a consequence, there may in all likelihood be a widespread inclination not to do further work in the development of advanced techniques, such as non-numerical information processing, i.e., item (3) of year letter. I would hope that whatever disposition one makes with your items (1) and (2), it should not hinder the government's efforts in item (3),

I would advise in favor of stepped-up research in exotic non-numerical information processing but would recommend a re-evaluation of the goals, their redefinition, and the expectation of achieving them. I would also recommend that new support be given to research in the present information handling systems with the goal of achieving incremental improvements.

Considerable progress has been made in the methodology and tools for handling files, paper work, and other recorded information over the past several years, but these incremental improvements to operating systems resulted more from industry-sponsored than government-sponsored research and development. Even so, the government has

3.

greatly benefited by the development of new schemes and equipment for filing, manual retrieval, photocopying, high speed composing, printing, etc.

If we rule out the large systems approach as being imprudent at this time, then there remain three possible avenues for the government efforts in non-numerical information processes:

- (1) The enhancement of present manual information systems with improved apparatus and methodology:
- (2) The fundamental studies of the system parameters; and
- (3) Research directed toward a system breakthrough.

I am unable to suggest at this time how one ought to apportion the government funding into these three avenues. The availability of talent should be the factor which determines this allocation of funds. If a retrenchment of the large systems is called for, then there would be more than sufficient funds available for these recommended efforts. This is essentially the sense that was embedded in a 1961 report by a PSAC ad hec study panel on non-numerical information processing. Two excerpts from this report are as follows:

"It is therefore recommended that no more money be expended than that presently budgeted and planned, except in response to specific well-thought-out proposals embodying distinctly new theoretical or conceptual approaches. If anything, smaller expenditures on ill-defined global development projects could free some manpower for thinking."

* * *

"The panel thinks that this is not a question of facilities, money, massive group efforts, or large research centers, but rather a question of properly motivating the present widely scattered individual workers and groups of workers to attack a tractable set of problems."

Perhaps we in the government are giving too little effort to our present systems for information processing -- the traditional manual systems

of reporting, indexing, filing, and classification. It is apparent that our efforts have failed for lack of a more fundamental understanding of human communications. The recently completed data base under the SCIPS effort of CODIS should contribute immeasurably to the verification of these fundamental studies mentioned above.

4.

The present level of expenditure in this whole area of information processing, although it may be disproportionately allocated, is probably sufficient to cover a well balanced effort, including research and development for incremental improvements of present methodology research into advanced concepts. But a new balanced program most decidedly would involve a stepped-up funding in theoretical studies and in studies which attack the fundamental problems.

Finally, I would like to address myself to the matter of manpower for carrying out this work. While most of us have frequently heard the comment that there is a dire shortage of qualified talent to undertake research in non-numerical data processing, we probably can no longer accept this as meaningful in the light of our proposed expansion into the areas of more fundamental research. In the past and at present, much talent has been frozen in large systems efforts. here, the individuals are part of an organizational structure which may indeed impose a tightening of creative talent within the relatively few corporations and laboratories which have large centracts. The so-called shortage of people may be in part due to the rigid structuring of systems efforts itself. I presume that much talent is sapped away from the primary problems by being slotted into the purely systems problems, i.e., such work as impedence matching among the components of the system. Thus, I believe the shortage of talent has in part been generated by our method of funding.

One of the strongest recommendations I could make at this time would be in the nature of changing the method of support for work in these areas. It seems to me that the only way to marshal the intellectual effort needed is to establish a broadly based grant mechanism to individuals or to small teams or groups of researchers. Concurrently, the retrenchment of support for the larger systems hopefully will release scores of top quality talent which, coupled with the expansion of support into virgin manpower pools, should provide more than adequate talent for the task. Admittedly, this will increase the problems of security, but these problems as you intimate in your letter,

are larger than intelligence information itself. Thus, it may be possible to provide support for unclassified work which would deal with problems in scientific and technical information or in other modes of communication, yet in fact the results would be indistinguishable from research and development in intelligence information processing.

15

In summary, your letter is welcomed as an invitation for all of us to examine the intra-government communications process as the science it is.

Concern for the problem of non-numerical information processing has been felt also in other quarters, especially the Department of Defense. With this rising interest it may be an opportune time to establish (1) a gayorument-wide program in communications processing under the assis of the Federal Council for Science and Technology; and (2) a non-government advisory activity in communications research and development under the assis of PSAC.

While these are possible answers to promote coordination of similar efforts among the Departments or Agencies, they are mentioned merely approaches to a group of problems. I would welcome your reaction to them and perhaps your suggestions of other possible mechanisms.

Sincerely yours.

Donald F. Hornig

Lt. Gen. Marshall S. Carter, USA Deputy Director, Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

-		665/65/95! CIATRO CONFIDEN		SECRET
	CENT	RAL INTELLIGENCE A	GENCY	
	OFFI	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP	
то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	EA/DDCI	2/27	2/28	MSC
2	ER J.	a di	3Max	an
3	ER.		ax 1,000	
4	·			
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMME	
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATUR	E
len	narks:	00.0		
Rem	Sent the appropriate a office of refer	original to ear. ction as they we rence; also aske	via DD/I	for
Ren	Sent the appropriate a office of refer copies to inter	ction as they we	via DD/I re the ori d them to	for iginating furnish
	Sent the appropriate a office of refer copies to interest of the second	ction as they we rence; also aske rested offices. wishes specific and office rather the	via DD/I re the ori d them to follow up, an to ER.	for iginating furnish
	Sent the appropriate a office of refer copies to inter	ction as they we rence; also asked ested offices. wishes specific to office rather the cools by	via DD/I re the original them to follow up, an to ER.	for iginating furnish please
	Sent the appropriate a office of reference to interest to interest to this of the second seco	ction as they we rence; also asked ested offices. wishes specific to office rather the cools by	via DD/I re the ori d them to follow up, an to ER.	for iginating furnish please
	Sent the appropriate a office of refer copies to interest of the second	ction as they we rence; also asked ested offices. wishes specific and office rather the color of the color o	via DD/I re the ori d them to follow up, an to ER. Ding - 3	for iginating furnish please
	Sent the appropriate a office of refer copies to interior of the second	ction as they we rence; also asked ested offices. wishes specific and the specific rather the colors. Cookers	via DD/I re the ori d them to follow up, an to ER. Ding - 3	for iginating furnish please

			ntellige L ROU				
то	NAME AND ADDRESS				DATE		INITIALS
1				BPA	M		1/15
2	Rm 6E62 Hc	15					
3							
4							
5							
6	Executive R	egis					
	ACTION				PREPARE REPLY		
	APPROVAL DISPATCH			RECOMMENDATION		ENDATION	
		COMMENT FILE				RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE INFORMATION		INFORMAT	ION I		SIGNATII	DE
Ren	narks:		INFORMAT	ION		SIGNATU	RE
Rer			INFORMAT	ION		SIGNATU	RE
Rer	narks:		ΤΟ RETU	RN TO			RE
Rer	narks:		ΤΟ RETU	RN TO			DATE
Rer	narks:		ΤΟ RETU	RN TO			

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Dic/

President's Securce

Advisor responds to

a letter your wrote

to Weisner last September

about automatic data

processing and computer

machines.

Now that we've hatched in Care done by BBB

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101

1 AUG 54 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101

(47)